

# How can interethnic co-existence work in super-diverse neighbourhoods?

*JPI Urban Europe Projects Meeting,  
May 31, 2017*

*Yvonne Franz, ISR (ÖAW, AT) || Myrte Hoekstra, UvA (NL) ||  
Christina Larsson, RUFS (SWE)*





1. Which **political** measures best support and strengthen the integrative power of an urban neighbourhood  
➤ as a place of living and identification for a diverse urban population?
2. How does **participation** in such local measures and initiatives impact neighbourhood belonging of local residents – or not?





# International case study comparison

## Case Studies Amsterdam



## Case Studies Vienna




## Case Studies Stockholm




## Analysis results: Interethnic co-existence = valuable achievement

- Bottom-up and hybrid initiatives have more impact on neighbourhood attachment compared to top-down initiatives.
- Social embeddedness, place attachment and co-responsibility play a crucial role at the local level
  - Perceptions and interpretations by residents are very diverse



# Policymaking: Need for inclusionary integration



Source: own illustration, 2016

Thank you for your attention.

Have a look at [www.icecproject.com](http://www.icecproject.com)

You can download our results at a glance in the ICEC Poster in four languages:

